



With 104 incidents thus eliminated, there remain thirty-four which contain some evidence but have no apparent ready explanation. This statement is true only under the assumption that the evidence is accepted as reliable and accurate. When psychological and physiological factors are taken into consideration, all of these incidents can be explained rationally, as pointed out by Rand Corporation and the Pitts of Air Materiel Command Aero-Medical Laboratory (see Appendices "B" and "C").

Air Materiel Command Aero-Medical Laboratory (Dr. Paul  
212 Incidents considered)

There are sufficient psychological explanations for the majority of unidentified flying objects to provide plausible explanations for reports not otherwise explainable. These errors in identifying visual stimuli result chiefly from inability to estimate speed, distance, and size.

All of the remaining 34 incidents are treated in detail in this report. These incidents form no pattern in regard to area of sighting, type of object, or manner of performance. There are indications, however, that some sightings were influenced by earlier reports, which probably would not have been considered unusual or reported had there been no publicity.

APPENDIX E

Project Gringo

VII. Summary of ANC Evaluation of Remaining Reports

The remaining unexplained incidents (see Appendix "I") exhibited no common characteristics. Two of them, by statements of the reporters, could not have been made had the witnesses not read of the Lt. Berlin Incident (Incident No. 17). Most were distributed without pattern throughout the United States. A few were outside the U. S. No two descriptions of appearance or performance were exactly alike. The reported sightings occurred at various times of day and year.

APPENDIX F

In the following section of this report, each remaining unexplained incident is considered separately. It is not the intent to generally discuss the character of observers, but each case has undesirable elements, and these cannot be disregarded. The numerical designation is merely the categorical number of the incident in the project files.

13, 17, 21, 22, 35, 37, 40, 51, 56, 62, 64, 66, 71, 75, 76, 77, 79, 86,  
111, 122, 134, 135, 151, 152, 154, 162, 163, 176, 183, 186, 193, 207, 215, 235.

Incident No. 64 — 19 August 1947, 2150 hours, Twin Falls, Idaho. Several people were reported as sighting numerous groups of objects in the night sky. These objects were described as a glow in the air with a color similar to regular electric lights. The objects were said to have traveled at "terrific" speed. Some flew in triangular formation. Three objects peeled off of one group of ten, and proceeded on another course. The sky was overcast. Two observers stated that the objects could not have been birds since the lights were not a reflection of city lights.

AMC Opinion: Many familiar objects visible because of the fact that they reflect light, appear to be incandescent; for example, the moon and certain planets. The evidence is, therefore, contradictory since in one instance the objects are described as merely a glow, and later on as not being reflectory. The submitted evidence is readily explained as in incident 62; ground lights reflected from clouds, or birds in flight.

Incident #64 -- Twin Falls, Idaho -- 19 August 1947

There is nothing astronomical in this incident.

The reported overcast sky could have made it possible for signal or search lights to be reflected from the cloud background.

D

UNCLASSIFIED

Date: 5/23/67

Time: 930-1

Location: ~~approximately 1000~~

1. Name of observer:

2. Description of object:

3. Address of observer:

4. Place of observation: ~~La Jolla~~5. Number of objects observed: ~~1~~

6. Distance of object from observer: Could not be estimated

7. Time in sight: ~~10 minutes at a time~~

8. Attitude: Could not be determined

9. Speed: ~~variable~~10. Direction of flight: ~~W and came back toward us~~11. Altitude: ~~approximately 1000 ft~~12. Sound: ~~1/S~~13. Size: ~~Partially obscured~~14. Color: ~~greenish or bluish-green (difficult to tell)~~  
similar to that of certain algae - ~~1/S~~15. Shape: ~~Could not be estimated~~16. Odor detected: ~~1/S~~17. Apparent construction: ~~1/S~~18. Behavior: ~~None could be observed~~19. Weather conditions: ~~overcast by definition~~20. Effect on observer: ~~1/S~~21. Sketches or photographs: ~~None~~22. Duration of observation: ~~10~~

23. Remarks: (over)

UNCLASSIFIED

Krebs [REDACTED] then called Detective [REDACTED] and the Pine Falls Police Department. [REDACTED] door [REDACTED] was accompanied by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] also members of the Pine Falls Police Department. [REDACTED] observed the objects flying in formation over the city in an eastward direction.

Detectives [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that the objects were in motion so fast as to appear on a level line and did not appear to drop or fall. [REDACTED] stated that they could not have been birds because as the objects passed over the city they did not fall from the altitude. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] were not interested in the objects.

No other persons reported seeing the phenomena but [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that if [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had [REDACTED] that they had seen the objects. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] noticed any flames or anything except the glow of light.

Dr HYNEK'S EVALUATIONS EXTRACTED FROM PROJECT GRUDGE REPORT.

INCIDENT INDEX

1. Astronomical

a. High probability:

#26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 48, 49, 59, 60, 66, 69, 70, 94,  
95, 96, 97, 98, 101, 102, 103, 104, 116, 119, 132, 136, 140,  
147, 148, 158, 174, 184, 185, 187, 197, 203, 204, 208, 216,  
219, 238.

b. Fair or low probability:

#19, 20, 23, 24, 28, 35, 36, 46, 50, 63, 67, 80, 82, 93, 100,  
112, 120, 121, 129, 130, 144, 153, 165, 166, 167, 175, 192,  
199, 202, 205, 220, 230, 240.

2. Non-astronomical but suggestive of other explanations

a. Balloons or ordinary aircraft:

#3, 11, 22, 41, 42, 53, 54, 73, 81, 83, 91, 92, 113, 114, 115,  
126, 131, 138, 141, 145, 155, 156, 157, 159, 160, 161, 163,  
169, 171, 173, 178, 180, 182, 188, 190, 194, 195, 196, 198,  
200, 201, 209, 210, 217, 222, 235, 237, 239.

b. Rockets, flares or falling bodies:

#4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 56, 65, 78, 106, 107,  
108, 109, 133, 170, 211, 218.

c. Miscellaneous (reflections, auroral streamers, birds, etc.):

#39, 89, 123, 124, 128, 146, 164, 181, 189, 214, 221, 231, 234.

3. Non-astronomical, with no explanation evident

a. Lack of evidence precludes explanation:

#38, 44, 45, 47, 55, 57, 72, 86, 87, 88, 90, 99, 110, 117, 118,  
125, 127, 137, 139, 149, 150, 177, 179, 191, 206, 212, 213,  
229, 232, 233.

b. Evidence offered suggests no explanation:

#1, 2, 10, 17, 21, 29, 37, 40, 51, 52, 58, 61, 62, 64, 68, 71,  
75, 76, 77, 79, 84, 105, 111, 122, 135, 151, 152, 154, 162,  
168, 172, 176, 183, 186, 193, 207, 215, 223, 224, 225, 226,  
227, 236, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245.